





Module 13

Prof. Ludwig Weber, McGill University

Istanbul Technical University Air Transportation Management, M.Sc. Programme Air Law, Regulation and Compliance Management 11 February 2015

> Professor Ludwig Weber McGill University Copyright © 2015 by the author.

- Introduction
- **Notion of Product Liability**
- Relevance of Aircraft Manufacturer's liability for Consumers
- Extent of Liability; Defenses
- Conclusions

#### 1. Notion of Product Liability

- (a) Liability for damage from defective products; aircraft manufacturer liability
- (b) Liability under U.S. law: Courses of action
  - (c) Liability under the EU Product Liability Directive
  - (d) Liability under national laws
  - (e) Defences of the Manufacturer
  - (f) Case law

- 2. Relevance of aircraft manufacturer liability for the consumer
  - notion of product
  - notion of manufacturer
  - notion of defect
  - liability under US and EU law
  - US law: developed strict liability standards in 1960's

- 3. US law: Greenman vs. Yuba Power Products
  - strict liability in tort
  - implied warranty
- Defective product: test of reasonableness "unreasonably dangerous to consumer when put on the market"
- Not altered by unreasonable use, or inadequate or lack of maintenance.

- EU law: strict liability under 1985 Directive
  Producer shall be strictly liable for damage caused by defective products
- Defectiveness: unsafe product
  "Product does not present safety which consumer is entitled to expect"

- Liability of component part manufacturers and subcontractors
- -AMO (Maintenance) liability
- Defenses of the manufacturer

#### 4. DEFENSES OF THE MANUFACTURER

- CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE
- COMPARATIVE FAULT / MISUSE
- DEVELOPMENT RISK DEFENSE
  "STATE OF THE ART"
- SUPERSEDING/INTERVENING CAUSE
- BUT NOT: ACT OF GOD

- 5. Significance
  - Compared with Warsaw, manufacturer liability regime more advantageous to consumer
  - Compared with Montreal 1999, these
    liability regimes are on more equal footing

**THANK YOU Questions?**